Subject of assessment:	Charging Policy: Corporate Appointee / Court of Protection Deputyships					
Coverage:	Service specific					
	Strategy	X Policy	Service	☐ Function		
This is a decision relating to:	X Process/procedure	☐ Programme	☐ Project	Review		
	Organisational change	Other (please state)				
It is a:	New approach:		Revision of an existing approach: X			
It is driven by:	Legislation:	x	Local or corporate requirements:			
Description:	 Key aims, objectives and activities To introduce and agree a formal charging policy for all respite stays for service users who receive care in their own home on an informal basis. Statutory drivers (set out exact reference) Equality Act (2010) Differences from any previous approach The current policy of charging for respite stay levies a standard charge according to ability to pay. This does not cover the cost to the local authority and does not reflect the true level at which a service user could pay. The proposed approach will ensure a consistent and transparent policy, in line with charging for other adult social care services and in line with neighbouring local authorities. Key stakeholders and intended beneficiaries (internal and external as appropriate) The new charging policy will benefit the Directorate as the income generated will support the savings targets. Intended outcomes. Create a fair and consistent approach to charging across the full spectrum of social care services, including for respite stays. Introduce a charging system which will mitigate the cost to the local authority for respite care stays. 					
Live date:	When will this be implemented? From date of approval					

Lifespan:	Between which dates will this apply? Open ended from date of implementation		
Date of next review:	When will the next review be undertaken? State any triggers for early review. The policy will be reviewed annually		

Screening questions		Response				
		No		Yes Uncertain		- Evidence
Human Rights Could the decision impact negatively on individual UK legislation?*	dual Human Rights as enshrined in	х				None of the absolute or qualified rights will be infringed by these proposals.
Equality Could the decision result in adverse differential impacts on groups or individuals with characteristics protected in UK equality law? Could the decision impact differently on other commonly disadvantaged groups?*		x				All service users will be treated in the same manner, irrespective of their disability, age, gender, race or religion. Service users who have previously been charged the nominal fee for respite stay will be financially disadvantaged by the implementation of this policy, however, full financial assessments will confirm ability to pay. A full consultation exercise will take place over a period of 13 weeks. This will help us to understand the implications on people who currently use the respite care facility, those who have used the service in the past and those who may use the service in the future. The outcome from the consultation process may influence the content and implementation of the proposed policy. Where this applied, a level 2 full impact assessment will be carried out.
Community cohesion Could the decision impact negatively on relationships between different groups, communities of interest or neighbourhoods within the town?*		Х		0	0	The policy will be applied across all wards in the Borough so there will be no conflict of interest or negative impact on particular communities
Next steps: If the answer to all of the above screening questions is No then the process is completed. If the answer of any of the questions is Yes or Uncertain, then a Level 2 Full Impact Assessment must be completed.						
Assessment completed by:		Head of Service:				

^{*} Consult the Impact Assessment further guidance appendix for details on the issues covered by each of these broad questions prior to completion.

Date:	Date:	
Dutc.	Date.	